Syntheses of Functionalized Oligosilanes[#]

Roland Fischer¹, Judith Baumgartner¹, Guido Kickelbick², Karl Hassler^{1,*}, and Christoph Marschner^{1,*}

¹ Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Technology, 8010 Graz, Austria

² Institute of Materials Chemistry, Vienna University of Technology, 1060 Vienna, Austria

Received December 2, 2005; accepted February 6, 2006 Published online April 28, 2006 © Springer-Verlag 2006

Summary. A number of differently substituted isotetra- and isoheptasilanes were synthesized.

Keywords. Silicon; Isotetrasilanes; Crystal Structure.

Introduction

The chemistry of exactly defined molecular structures with oligosilane backbones has experienced an enormous development within the last few years. This is in part a result of the development of methods facilitating the introduction of multiple functional groups under conditions preserving silicon–silicon bonds. In the current account we would like to disclose the syntheses of a number of key intermediates for the building of extended structures like cage molecules and polysilane dendrimers [1]. These can be obtained starting from isotetra- and/or isoheptasilanes, which bear functional groups either in a reactive form like halides or masked like phenyl groups, which allow the easy conversion into leaving groups. Recent studies from our laboratory showed that even the trimethylsilyl group can be considered as a masked functional group which can be easily substituted by potassium with potassium *tert*-butoxide [2].

The reactions which can be used for synthesis and manipulation of these molecules can be categorised into Si–Si bond forming reactions and functional group generating or manipulating reactions. The most frequently used method to obtain polysilanes is the *Wurtz* type coupling. Disadvantages of this reaction are the relatively harsh reaction conditions (alkali metals) and an intrinsic restriction to symmetric products. However, for the synthesis of larger quantities of starting materials this is often the method of choice. An attractive alternative is the salt elimination reaction between a silvlanion and a silvl electrophile (usually either

^{*} Corresponding authors. E-mail: christoph.marschner@tugraz.at, karl.hassler@tugraz.at

[#] Dedicated to Prof. Ulrich Schubert (TU Wien) on the occasion of his 60th birthday

halide or triflate). The additional flexibility provided by this reaction is gained at the expense of the separate generation of nucleophiles and electrophiles. A few other synthetic methods for the formation of polysilanes comprise transition metal catalysis of hydrosilanes [3] and coupling of silyl radicals [4].

The second important class of reactions deals with the conversion of the products of the just mentioned building reactions. Introduction of halides or triflates can be accomplished most easily either *via* protodesilylation reaction of a phenylsilane or by halogenation of a hydrosilane with CX_4 , CX_3H , or phosphorus pentahalides. For the generation of silylanions also a number of different methods are known, the most convenient, however, is still cleavage of disilanes with lithium.

Results and Discussion

For our purposes we frequently use methylated isotetrasilanes as starting materials. Tris(trimethylsilyl)methylsilane (1), which is the parent compound of this structural type, can easily be obtained for example by *Wurtz* type reaction of trichloromethylsilane with three equivalents of chlorotrimethylsilane in the presence of alkali metals [5]. In order to functionalize the trimethylsilyl groups AlCl₃ catalyzed reaction with hydrogen chloride, acetyl chloride, or trimethylchlorosilane is required (**2a**) [6]. However, this can be a very capricious reaction, which frequently requires long reaction times.



Phenylation of 2a with phenyllithium gives a mixture of the mono-, double-, and triple phenylated products 3, 4, and 5. As they can not be separated easily by crystallisation or distillation, the reaction mixture was subjected to reduction with lithium aluminum hydride which results in a mixture of 5 and hydrosilanes 6 and 7 which can be separated by distillation. Pure 3 and 4 then can be obtained by reaction of 7 and 6 with PCl₅.



Because of the above mentioned difficulties, the introduction of phenyl groups into the isotetrasilane early on is an attractive strategy. The *Wurtz* type reaction to the corresponding isotetrasilane **5** with three dimethylphenylsilyl groups, however, often does not give clean reactions as frequently partial silylation of phenyl groups [7] occurs. Sometimes it is even accompanied by the formation of neopentasilane **8** [8]. Whereas these problems can be avoided for the preparation of **5** by a salt elimination reaction between three equivalents of dimethylphenylsilyllithium and trichloromethylsilane the analogous reaction of tetrachlorosilane with four equivalents of dimethylphenylsilyllithium gives **8** only in poor yield [8]. The obtained isotetrasilane **5** can be converted easily into the respective trichloride **2a** by reaction with trifluoromethanesulphonic acid [9] and subsequent treatment with LiCl. Alternatively, reaction of **5** in neat HBr at -70° C leads to the respective tribromide **2b** [11]. Both trihalides can be reduced to the corresponding trihydrosilane **9** [8, 11] using lithium aluminum hydride.



The just outlined procedure can also be applied for the introduction of difunctional silyl groups. Reaction between three equivalents of methyldiphenyl-silyllithium and trichloromethylsilane in this case leads to the formation of tris(methyldiphenylsilyl)methylsilane (10) [10]. This compound can be converted either to the hexabromide 11 [11] employing reaction with HBr or to the half-functionalised 12 by addition of three equivalents of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid. Compound 12 is obtained as a mixture of two diastereomers [12]. Compounds 11 and 12 again can be converted to the respective hydroisotetrasilanes 13 and 14 by reaction with lithium aluminum hydride.



The so far described chemistry provides access to isotetrasilane units with either one or two reactive residues on the terminal silyl groups as well as the possibility to activate only one or two silyl groups. In the following section it will be shown that these compounds can be used to produce more extended polysilanes in a selective way. For example, reaction of two equivalents 4 with Li leads to the formation of tetraphenyloctasilane 15 [13]. The respective tetrachlorooctasilane 16 can be obtained by the above mentioned treatment with trifluoromethanesulfonic acid and LiCl.



Reaction of the trihalides **2a** or **2b** with three equivalents of methyldiphenylsilyllithium leads to the formation of isoheptasilane **17**. Again this very stable compound can be activated by treatment at low temperature with neat HBr to give the hexabromide **18**, which subsequently can be reduced to the hexahydrosilane **19**.



The same reaction sequence starting from trichloride **2a** can also be carried out using *tert*-butyldiphenylsilylpotassium as the silylation reagent in the first step leading to structurally similar compounds **20** and **21**.



X-Ray Crystallography

Compounds 5, 8, 10, 17, and 20 were subjected to single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Isotetrasilane 5 (Fig. 1) appears as an almost C_3 symmetric propeller



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of **5** with selected bond distances [Å] and angles [°] with estimated standard deviations. Si(1)–Si(2) 2.3362(18), Si(1)–Si(4) 2.3371(19), Si(1)–Si(3) 2.3390(19), Si(2)–Si(1)–Si(4) 110.51(7), C(1)–Si(3) 107.97(16), Si(2)–Si(1)–Si(3) 112.11(7), Si(4)–Si(1)–Si(3) 111.00(7)



Fig. 2. Molecular structure of **8** with selected bond distances [Å] and angles [°] with estimated standard deviations: Si(2)–Si(1A) 2.3693(13), Si(2)–Si(3) 2.3718(12), Si(1A)–Si(2)–Si(1) 106.72(7), Si(1A)–Si(2)–Si(3) 105.77(4), Si(1)–Si(2)–Si(3) 110.49(3), Si(3)–Si(2)–Si(3A) 117.22(7)



Fig. 3. Molecular structure of **10** with selected bond distances [Å] and angles [°] with estimated standard deviations: Si(1)–Si(3) 2.3692(9), Si(1)–Si(4) 2.3707(10), Si(1)–Si(2) 2.3712(11), Si(3)–Si(1)–Si(4) 120.35(4), C(1)–Si(2) 106.24(8), Si(3)–Si(1)–Si(2) 106.65(3), Si(4)–Si(1)–Si(2) 111.70(4)

shaped molecule with not much steric interaction between the three side-chains. As a consequence Si–Si bond lengths in the molecule are relatively short (2.33– 2.34 Å). The angles around the central silicon atom reflect the slightly larger steric demand of the dimethylphenylsilyl group displaying Si-Si-Si bond angles between 110.5 and 112.1°. The corresponding neopentasilane 8 (Fig. 2) with four dimethylphenylsilyl substituents experiences more steric strain. Si–Si bond lengths are elongated to 2.37 Å, which is longer than in tetrakis(trimethylsilyl)silane (2.346(1) Å) [14]. Two dimethylphenylsilyl groups are arranged in a way that the phenyl moieties point away from the center of the molecule. This allows for a relatively small angle of 106.7° between these groups. The remaining dimethylphenylsilyl substituents accommodate the phenyl in a way closer to the central silicon atom thus widening the bond angle to 117.2° . For compound **10** (Fig. 3) a propeller shaped arrangement as was found for 5 does not seem to be possible for steric reasons. Si–Si bond lengths are close to 2.37 A and the tetrahedron around Si(2) is severely distorted with fairly different Si–Si–Si angles of 106.6, 111.7, and 120.4° . Compound **17** (Fig. 4) again shows the propeller shaped arrangement. The terminal methyldiphenylsilyl groups, however, display different spatial orientations. All Si-Si bond lengths are close to 2.36 Å. Si-Si-Si angles around the central silicon atom Si(3) are ranging from 109.1 to 112.3°. In order to accommodate the disilarly branches around the central silicon atom the bond angles of



Fig. 4. Molecular structure of 17 with selected bond distances [Å] and angles [°] with estimated standard deviations: Si(1)–Si(2) 2.3519(10), Si(2)–Si(3) 2.3581(9), Si(3)–Si(6) 2.3576(9), Si(3)–Si(4) 2.3661(8), Si(4)–Si(5) 2.3590(11), Si(6)–Si(7) 2.3572(8), Si(1)–Si(2)–Si(3) 117.43(4), Si(6)–Si(3)–Si(2) 109.11(4), Si(6)–Si(3)–Si(4) 112.30(3), Si(2)–Si(3)–Si(4) 111.69(3), Si(5)–Si(4)–Si(3) 117.82(3), Si(7)–Si(6)–Si(3) 117.13(4)

the trisilanyl units are all widened to values close to 117° . For compound **20** (Fig. 5) two independent molecules with similar structural features are found in the asymmetric unit. Again the molecule exhibits the propeller shaped arrangement with symmetry close to C_3 . As was found before for **17**, the bond angles around the central silicon atom are close to a perfect tetrahedron. The higher steric demand of the *tert*-butyl groups of **20** in comparison to the methyl groups in **17** forces the bond angles of the trisilanyl units to be widened to close to 120° . The higher steric strain also causes an elongation of the Si–Si bonds to values close to 2.38 Å.

Experimental

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of dry Ar or N₂. Solvents were dried over Na/K alloy and distilled freshly before use. HBr was dried over P_2O_5 . *PhMe*₂SiLi [15], *Ph*₂*Me*SiLi [16], 1,2-di-*tert*-butyl-1,1,2,2-tetraphenyldisilane [17] and **2a** [6] were prepared using known procedures.

¹H, ¹³C, and ²⁹Si NMR spectra were recorded either on a Bruker MSL 300 or on Varian INOVA 300 or 500 spectrometers. Samples for ²⁹Si spectra were either dissolved in C_6D_6 or in cases of reaction samples measured with a D_2O capillary in order to provide an external lock frequency signal. To compensate for the low isotopic abundance of ²⁹Si the INEPT pulse sequence was used for the amplification of the signal [18].



Fig. 5. Molecular structure of 20 with selected bond distances [Å] and angles [°] with estimated standard deviations (only one of two independent molecules shown): Si(1)−Si(2) 2.376(2), Si(2)−Si(3) 2.374(2), Si(3)−Si(4) 2.376(2), Si(3)−Si(6) 2.379(2), Si(4)−Si(5) 2.385(2), Si(6)−Si(7) 2.387(2), Si(3)−Si(2)−Si(1) 122.72(9), Si(2)−Si(3)−Si(4) 109.35(9), Si(2)−Si(3)−Si(6) 109.21(9), Si(4)−Si(3)−Si(6) 109.59(8), Si(3)−Si(4)−Si(5) 118.82(9), Si(3)−Si(6)−Si(7) 118.60(9)

IR spectra were measured with a Perkin Elmer 883 instrument. GC/MS were recorded on a HP 5890/II gas chromatograph with HP 5971/A MSD, a HP1 (cross-linked methyl silicone) column, and He as carrier gas. High resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Kratos Profile instrument. Elemental analyses (C, H) were carried out using a Heraeus Elementar vario EL instrument, the results were in good agreement with the calculated values.

Tris(*bromodimethylsilyl*)*methylsilane* (**2b**, C₇H₂₁Br₃Si₄)

In a 50 cm³ flask equipped with a reflux condenser cooled to -75° C 5.00 g **5** (11.1 mmol) were placed. The flask was cooled to -80° C and about 20 cm³ HBr were condensed onto the material. After the condensation was complete the bath was removed and the HBr was allowed to reflux for 3 h. After removal of all volatiles in vacuum, *n*-pentane was distilled onto the residue to dissolve the product. At -35° C 4.70 g **2b** (10.3 mmol, 92%) were obtained as colourless crystals. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 0.78$ (s, 18H), 0.34 (s, 3H) ppm; ²⁹Si NMR (toluene): $\delta = 19.7$, -72.9 ppm.

Bis(chlorodimethylsilyl)dimethylphenylsilylmethylsilane (3, C₁₃H₂₆Cl₂Si₄)

and *Chlorodimethylsilylbis(dimethylphenylsilyl)methylsilane* (4, C₁₉H₃₁ClSi₄)

To a solution of 30.0 g 2a (92.8 mmol) in 500 cm^3 petrol ether, cooled to -70° C, a solution of 90.0 mmol phenyllithium in 300 cm^3 diethyl ether (prepared from 14.1 g bromobenzene and excess

Li) was added slowly over a period of 2 h. After separation from the salts by filtration, the solvents were removed by evaporation in vacuum and replaced by 300 cm^3 diethyl ether. A solution of approx. 100 mmol LiAlH₄ in 200 cm³ diethyl ether was added slowly at -30° C. The reaction mixture was refluxed for a couple of hours and then added dropwise to 1000 cm^3 aqueous $1 N \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$ at 0° C. The organic phase was separated and dried (Na₂SO₄) overnight. Diethyl ether was then removed, and the oily residue, which contained (HMe₂Si)MeSi(SiMe₂Ph)₂ (6) and (HMe₂Si)₂MeSi(SiMe₂Ph) (7) besides some 5 was subjected to fractional distillation. Details relating to the properties of 6 and 7 are given below.

To a solution of 5.6 g **6** (15.5 mmol) in 50 cm³ petrol ether at 0°C 3.2 g PCl₅ (15.5 mmol) were added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h. The solvent and PCl₃ were then removed by evaporation in vacuum and the residue was purified by fractional distillation using a short column. At 135°C and 0.05 mbar 4.6 g **4** (72.8%) were obtained as a viscous, oily liquid which solidified slowly upon standing. ²⁹Si NMR: $\delta = +30.9, -15.0, -85.9$ ppm.

The reaction with PCl₅ was also used for the preparation of $(ClMe_2Si)_2MeSi(SiMe_2Ph)$ (3) in an analogous way: 3.2 g 7 (10.8 mmol) were treated with 4.5 g PCl₅ (21.6 mmol) and the fractional distillation gave 3.1 g 3 (78.5%) at 100–110°C/0.05 mbar. ²⁹Si NMR: $\delta = +28.9, -16.1, -78.8$ ppm.

Tris(dimethylphenylsilyl)methylsilane (5, C₂₅H₃₆Si₄)

A solution of 7.00 g methyltrichlorosilane (46.9 mmol) in 120 cm³ diethylether was cooled to -70° C. Dimethylphenylsilyllithium (prepared from 25.0 g dimethylphenylchlorosilane (146.5 mmol) and 6.0 g lithium powder) in 200 cm³ *THF* was added slowly. The reaction was allowed to warm up to room temperature, stirred for another 12 h and poured on 2 *N* H₂SO₄/ice. The aqueous layer was extracted several times with toluene, dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent removed in vacuum. The remaining residue was dissolved in *n*-pentane and cooled to -70° C whereupon crystallisation occurred and pure 12.6 g 5 (60%) were obtained as colourless crystals. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.31$ (m, 15H), 0.23 (s, 21H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 140.53$, 134.06, 128.63, 127.96, -1.53, -11.40 ppm; ²⁹Si NMR (toluene): $\delta = -15.5$, -86.1 ppm; EIMS: m/z (rel.int.%) = 448 (5, M⁺⁺), 313 (12, (M-SiMe₂Ph)⁺⁺), 236 (40, (M-SiMe₂Ph₂)⁺⁺), 135 (100, (SiMe₂Ph)⁺⁺).

Dimethylsilylbis(dimethylphenylsilyl)methylsilane (6, $C_{19}H_{32}Si_4$) and *Bis(dimethylsilyl)* (*dimethylphenylsilyl)methylsilane* (7, $C_{13}H_{28}Si_4$)

The synthesis of **6** by partial phenylation of **2a** and subsequent reduction with LiAlH₄ was described above. Compounds **6** and **7** were also obtained *via* an alternative route by reaction of **5** with one or two equivalents of CF₃SO₃H, which proceeded selectively with formation of $(T_fOMe_2Si)MeSi(SiMe_2Ph)_2$ and $(T_fOMe_2Si)_2MeSi(SiMe_2Ph)$ $(T_fO = -OSO_2CF_3)$.

To a solution of 20.0 g **5** (44.6 mmol) in 100 cm³ toluene, cooled to -50° C either 6.7 g (44.6 mmol) or 13.4 g (89.2 mmol) CF₃SO₃H were added dropwise at that temperature. After complete addition, the reaction mixtures were allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. They were then again cooled down to -30° C, and stoichiometric solutions of LiAlH₄ in diethyl ether were added slowly. After stirring for 2 h at rt, the reaction mixtures were added dropwise to 1000 cm³ 2 N H₂SO₄. The organic phases were then subjected to the work-up procedures as described above. Typically, achieved yields were 80–90%. ²⁹Si NMR: (*TfOMe*₂Si)*Me*Si(Si*Me*₂*Ph*)₂: $\delta = +53.0, -16.4, -85.0$ ppm; *TfOMe*₂Si)₂*Me*Si(Si*Me*₂*Ph*): $\delta = +48.4, -15.4, -85.5$ ppm; **6** (H*Me*₂Si)*Me*Si(Si*Me*₂*Ph*)₂: $\delta = -15.4, -34.4, -87.3$ ppm; **7** (H*Me*₂Si)₂*Me*Si(Si*Me*₂*Ph*): $\delta = -15.3, -34.5, -80.0$ ppm.

Tetrakis(dimethylphenylsilyl)silane (8)

Compound **8** was prepared as described in Ref. [8] by the reaction of phenyldimethylchlorosilane and SiCl₄ with Li in a yield of less than 10%. An alternative reaction attempt with phenyldimethylsilyllithium and SiCl₄ did not give an improved yield. Detailed spectroscopic data were recorded and single crystals suitable for X-ray structure analysis were grown from acetone. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 7.22–7.30 (m, 8H), 7.10–7.18 (m, 12H), 0.41 (s, 24H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 140.9, 134.7, 128.7, 128.0, 1.5 ppm; ²⁹Si NMR (C₆D₆): δ = -12.8, -129.7 ppm.

Tris(*dimethylsilyl*)*methylsilane* (**9**, C₇H₂₄Si₄)

A solution of 4.00 g **2b** (7.00 mmol) in 100 cm³ diethyl ether was cooled to 0°C and 4.4 cm³ 2.4*M* LiAlH₄ (10.56 mmol) solution in diethyl ether were added dropwise. After complete addition the reaction was stirred at ambient temperature for another 16 h after which the solution was cautiously (!) added dropwise to a mixture of diethyl ether/ice/2 *N* H₂SO₄. The aqueous layer was saturated with NaCl and extracted twice with diethyl ether. The combined organic phases were dried (Na₂SO₄) and evaporated to leave 1.35 g **9** (6.1 mmol, 87%) as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 3.92$ (m, 3H), 0.23 (s, 18H), 0.21 (s, 3H) ppm; ²⁹Si NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = -35.2$ (d, J = 195 Hz), -88.9 ppm.

Tris(methyldiphenylsilyl)methylsilane (10, C₄₀H₄₂Si₄)

A solution of 6.20 g methyltrichlorsilane (41.2 mmol) in 150 cm³ ether was cooled to -70° C. Methyldiphenylsilyllithium (prepared from 30.0 g methyldiphenylchlorosilane (128.9 mmol) and 6.0 g Li) in 200 cm³ *THF* was added dropwise. The reaction was allowed to warm up to room temperature, and was heated to reflux for another 24 h. The solution was poured on 2 *N* H₂SO₄/ice and the aqueous layer extracted several times with ether, dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent removed in vacuum. The remaining residue was dissolved in *n*-pentane and after crystallisation at -70° C 8.6 g **10** (33%) were obtained as colourless crystals. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.33$ (m, 12H), 7.21 (m, 18H), 0.55 (d, J = 2 Hz, 3H), 0.32 (d, J = 2 Hz, 9H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 137.6$, 135.3, 129.0, 128.0, -3.0, -8.5 ppm; ²⁹Si NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = -17.3$, -84.90 ppm.

Tris(dibromomethylsilyl)methylsilane (11, C₄H₁₂Br₆Si₄)

Under the same conditions as described above for the synthesis of **2b** 2.50 g **10** (3.94 mmol) were converted to **11**. After crystallisation from *n*-pentane at -35° C 1.82 g **11** (2.79 mmol, 71%) were obtained as colourless crystals. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 1.33$ (s, 9H), 0.51 (s, 3H) ppm; ²⁹Si NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 14.6, -59.4$ ppm.

Tris(methylsilyl)methylsilane (**13**, C₄H₁₈Si₄)

The reaction of 1.50 g **11** (2.30 mmol) with 2.9 cm³ 2.4*M* LiAlH₄ (6.96 mmol) solution in diethyl ether was carried out in the same way as described above for the synthesis of **9**. After work-up 0.38 g **13** (2.14 mmol, 92%) were obtained as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 3.58$ (m, 6H), 0.28 (s, 3H), 0.21 (s, 9H) ppm; ²⁹Si NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = -62.1$ (t, J = 185 Hz), -90.9 ppm.

Tris(methylphenylsilyl)methylsilane (14, C₂₂H₃₀Si₄)

To a solution of 0.60 g **10** (0.74 mmol) in 5 cm³ toluene at 0°C 0.355 g CF₃SO₃H (2.36.1 mmol) were added dropwise. After stirring for 16 at rt complete conversion to **12** was detected by ²⁹Si NMR spectroscopy. **12** (as a mixture of two diasteromers): ²⁹Si NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 31.3$, 30.5, 30.4, 30.1, -82.3 ppm. After the addition of 5 cm³ diethyl ether the mixture was cooled to 0°C and 0.6 cm³ of a 2.0 *M* LiAlH₄ (1.20 mmol) solution in diethyl ether was added dropwise. After work-up of the reaction in a way analogous to the preparation of **9**, 0.21 g **13** (0.52 mmol, 70%) were obtained as a colourless solid. ²⁹Si NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = -31.6$, -88.3 ppm; EIMS: m/z (rel.int.%) = 406 (3, M⁺⁺), 284 (74), 239 (77), 135 (76), 105 (100); HRMS: ¹²C₂₂¹H₃₀²⁸Si₄ calcd 406.14246, found 406.14232.

2,5-Bis(dimethylphenylsilyl)-1,6-diphenyldecamethylhexasilane (15, C₃₈H₆₂Si₈)

To a solution of 7.2 g **4** (17.7 mmol) in 100 cm³ toluene 2.0 g Na (87 mmol) were added and the mixture was refluxed for 24 h. After filtration the solvent was removed in vacuum and the remaining residue distilled at 0.01 mm Hg. The fraction from 230 to 250° consisted of 4.2 g pure **15** (63.8%). ²⁹Si NMR (toluene): $\delta = -15.3, -33.6, -80.5$ ppm.

2,5-Bis(chlorodimethylsilyl)-1,6-dichlordecamethylhexasilane (16, C14H42Cl4Si8)

To a solution of 3.9 g **15** (5.2 mmol) in 50 cm³ toluene 3.8 g CF₃SO₃H (25.1 mmol) were added dropwise maintaining -30° C. After completion, all volatiles were removed in vacuum and the residue

was dissolved in 50 cm³ diethyl ether. An ample excess of dry LiCl (approx 1–2 g) was added at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. Diethyl ether was then removed in vacuum and 50 cm³ petrol ether was added. Lithium salts were separated by filtration, the solvent was removed by evaporation in vacuum, and the oily residue was subjected to a fractional distillation using a short, air-cooled column. At 150–170°C (0.05 mbar), 1.7 g (57%) pure **16** solidified. The residue also contained **16**, but all attempts to obtain crystals failed. ²⁹Si NMR (toluene): **16**: $\delta = 28.6, -34.7, -74.0 \text{ ppm}$; ((*TfOMe*₂Si)₂*Me*SiSi*Me*₂)₂: ²⁹Si NMR: (Toluene) $\delta = +49.3, -34.8, -79.9 \text{ ppm}$.

Tris(2,2-diphenyltrimethyldisilanyl)methylsilane (17, C₄₆H₆₀Si₇)

Starting with 40.1 g **2a** (124 mmol) and 37.9 g diphenylmethylsilyllithium (185 mmol) the same procedure as described for **5** was followed yielding 48.6 g **17** (97%) as colourless crystals. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 7.52$ (m, 12H), 7.14 (m, 18H), 0.64 (s, 9H), 0.23 (s, 18H), 0.13 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 138.2$, 135.8, 128.8, 128.6, -1.2, -2.8, -7.0 ppm; ²⁹Si NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = -18.4$, -39.2, -69.0 ppm; EIMS: m/z (rel.int.%) = 611 (M⁺-*Ph*₂*Me*Si, 7) 553 (3), 487 (5), 457 (9), 415 (11), 313 (14), 197 (100), 135 (63).

Tris(2,2-*dibromotrimethyldisilanyl*)*methylsilane* (**18**, C₁₀H₃₀Br₆Si₇)

Compound **17** (2.90 g, 3.58 mmol) was treated with about 15 cm³ HBr as described above for **2a**. After crystallisation from *n*-pentane 2.90 g **18** (3.51 mmol, 98%) were obtained as colourless crystals. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 1.01$ (s, 9H), 0.46 (s, 3H), 0.35 (s, 18H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 10.1$, -2.0, -8.4 ppm; ²⁹Si NMR (toluene): $\delta = 25.7$, -29.7, -75.8 ppm.

Tris(1,1,2-trimethyldisilanyl)methylsilane (19, C₁₀H₃₆Si₇)

As described above for **9** a solution of 1.80 g **18** (2.18 mmol) in 20 cm³ diethyl ether was treated with 8.7 cm³ 1.5 *M* LiAlH₄ (13.0 mmol) solution in diethyl ether. After work-up 0.68 g **19** (1.92 mol, 88%) were obtained as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 3.80$ (m, 6H), 0.32 (s, 18H), 0.28 (s, 3H), 0.14 (s, 9H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = -2.5$, -10.5, -11.1 ppm; ²⁹Si NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = -39.9$, -61.8 (t, *J* = 184 Hz), -77.0 ppm; EIMS: *m/z* (rel.int.%) = 352 (3, M⁺⁺), 307 (29), 247 (42), 233 (83), 155 (31), 125 (34), 73 (100); IR (neat): $\bar{\nu} = 2113$ cm⁻¹; HRMS: ¹²C₁₀⁻¹H₃₆²⁸Si₇ calcd 352.12019, found 352.12023.

Tris(2,2-diphenyl-2-tert-butyl-dimethyldisilanyl)methylsilane (20, C₅₅H₇₈Si₇)

To ice cold Na (6.0 g, 348 mmol)/K (24.0 g, 614 mmol) alloy suspended in 500 cm³ diethyl ether 64.0 g 1,2-di-*tert*-butyl-1,1,2,2-tetraphenyldisilane (134 mmol) were added over a period of 4 h. The stirring was continued for 12 h. To remove excess alloy, 5 cm³ Hg were slowly added at 0°C. The solution was transferred into a dropping funnel and added to 28.6 g ice cold **2a** (88 mmol) in 400 cm³ diethyl ether over a period of 3 h. Stirring was continued for 12 h at rt and another 6 h at reflux. After cooling to rt the mixture was diluted with toluene and poured onto ice cold 10% H₂SO₄. After several extractions of the aqueous layer with toluene, the combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent was removed in vacuum. The remaining residue was treated with ether and 43.2 g pure **20** (52%) were obtained as white crystals. ²⁹Si NMR (toluene): $\delta = -8.4, -36.8, -52.4$ ppm.

Tris(2,2-dibromo-2-tert-butyl-dimethyldisilanyl)methylsilane (21, C19H48Br6Si7)

A sealed glass tube charged with 9.8 g **20** (10.5 mmol) and 10 cm³ HBr (262 mmol) was first kept at -50° C for 5 h, because the protodearylation with HBr is exothermic. Then the tube was placed in a refrigerator at -30° C for a week. By this time, all **20** was dissolved in the benzene, which had been formed. The tube was opened under N₂, and the excess of HBr and benzene were removed in vacuum. The crystalline residue was dissolved in *n*-pentane. At -70° C 6.2 g pure **21** (62%) were obtained as colourless needles. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 1.17$ (s, 27H), 0.78 (s, 3H) 0.64 (s, 18H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 26.9$, 26.1, 0.6, -7.3 ppm; ²⁹Si NMR (toluene): $\delta = 34.9$, -26.1, -66.9 ppm; HRMS: ¹²C₁₉¹H₄₈⁷⁹Br₆²⁸Si₇ calcd 945.72411, found 945.72412.

	5	8	10	17	20
Empirical formula	C ₂₅ H ₃₆ Si ₄	C32H44Si5	C40H42Si4	C46H60Si7	C55H78Si7
М _w	448.90	569.12	635.10	809.57	935.80
Temperature/K	243(1)	293(2)	213(1)	213(1)	100(2)
Wavelength/Å	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073
Size/mm	$0.40 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$	$0.70 \times 0.50 \times 0.40$	0.58×0.43×0.28	0.47×0.43×0.28	0.50×0.35×0.05
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	P2(1)/c	C2/c	P-1	C2/c	P2(1)/c
a/Å	15.650(3)	16.4476(2)	10.634(2)	20.297(4)	32.910(7)
b/Å	20.166(4)	9.93780(10)	12.445(3)	20.089(4)	13.956(3)
c/Å	8.8766(18)	22.30910(10)	14.973(3)	25.972(5)	25.287(5)
$\alpha/^{\circ}$	90	90	77.44(3)	90	90
$\beta/^{\circ}$	96.80(3)	110.8940(10)	75.32(3)	105.69(3)	104.58(3)
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	90	90	76.46(3)	90	90
$V/Å^3$	2781.8(10)	3406.70(6)	1837.0(7)	10195(4)	11241(4)
Z	4	4	2	8	4
$\rho_{\rm calc}/({\rm g/cm}^3)$	1.072	1.110	1.148	1.055	1.106
Absorption	0.223	0.228	0.188	0.215	0.203
coefficient/mm ⁻¹					
F(000)	968	1224	676	3472	4048
θ range/°	$1.65 < \theta < 23.29$	$1.95 < \theta < 20.82$	$1.71 < \theta < 24.71$	$1.52 < \theta < 24.71$	$1.68 < \theta < 23.26$
Reflections	12332/3996	1785/1785	12748/6236	35126/8691	67674/16123
collected/unique					
Completeness to $\theta/\%$	99.7	99.8	99.3	99.9	99.8
Absorption correction	SADABS	none	SADABS	SADABS	SADABS
Data/restraints/	3996/0/270	1785/0/169	6236/0/401	8691/0/488	16123/0/1149
parameters					
Goodness of fit on F^2	0.968	1.128	1.060	1.047	1.028
Final R indices	R1 = 0.0634,	R1 = 0.0548,	R1 = 0.0431,	R1 = 0.0447,	R1 = 0.0822,
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	wR2 = 0.1231	wR2 = 0.1500	wR2 = 0.1170	wR2 = 0.1233	wR2 = 0.1704
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1230,	R1 = 0.0568,	R1 = 0.0498,	R1 = 0.0537,	R1 = 0.1390,
	wR2 = 0.1441	wR2 = 0.1530	wR2 = 0.1221	wR2 = 0.1290	wR2 = 0.1958
Largest diff. peak/hole/($e^{-}/Å^{3}$)	0.192/-0.204	0.424/-0.334	0.300/-0.182	0.359/-0.180	0.329/-0.317

Table 1. Crystallographic data

X-Ray Structure Analysis

Crystals were mounted onto the tip of a glass fibre, and data collection was performed on BRUKER-AXS SMART 1000 or SMART APEX CCD diffractometers (Table 1). Data were reduced to F_0^2 and corrected for absorption effects with SAINT [19] and SADABS [20]. All structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares method (SHELXL97) [21].

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF) through projects S7902 (C.M.), Y120 (C.M.) and P15366 (K.H.). The Wacker AG, Burghausen, provided generous gifts of chlorosilanes.

References

- [1] Baumgartner J, Fischer R, Kickelbick G, Hassler K, Marschner C (2004) Chem Eur J 10: 1021
- [2] a) Marschner C (1998) Eur J Inorg Chem 221; b) Kayser C, Fischer R, Baumgartner J, Marschner C (2002) Organometallics 21: 1023

- [3] For a review of transition metal catalyzed methods of silicon-silicon bond formation see: Gray GM, Corey JY (2000) In: Jones RG, Ando W, Chojnowski J (eds) Silicon-Containing Polymers, Kluwer Academic Publishers, p 401
- [4] Wiberg N, Schuster H, Simon A, Peters K (1986) Angew Chem 98: 100
- [5] Gilman H, Holmes JM, Smith CL (1965) Chem & Ind (London) 848
- [6] Kollegger G, Hassler K (1995) J Organomet Chem 485: 233
- [7] Gilman H, Harrell RL, Smith CL, Shina K (1966) J Organomet Chem 5: 387
- [8] Gilman H, Smith CL (1966) J Organomet Chem 6: 665
- [9] Uhlig W (1992) J Organomet Chem 421: 189
- [10] Notheis C, Brendler E, Thomas B (1998) In: Auner N, Weis J (eds) Organosilicon Chemistry III: From Molecules to Materials, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, p 307
- [11] Herzog U, Roewer G (1997) J Organomet Chem 527: 117
- [12] Marschner C, Hengge E (1998) In: Auner N, Weis J (eds) Organosilicon Chemistry III: From Molecules to Materials, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, p 333
- [13] a) Hassler K, Kollegger GM, Siegl H, Klintschar G (1997) J Organomet Chem 533: 51;
 b) Watanabe A, Nanjo M, Sunaga T, Sekiguchi A (2001) J Phys Chem A 105: 6436
- [14] Heine A, Herbst-Irmer R, Sheldrick GM, Stalke D (1993) Inorg Chem 32: 2694
- [15] George MV, Peterson DJ, Gilman H (1960) J Am Chem Soc 82: 403
- [16] Gilman H, Lichtenwalter GD (1958) J Am Chem Soc 80: 608
- [17] Reiter B, Hassler K (1994) J Organomet Chem 467: 21
- [18] a) Morris GA, Freeman R (1979) J Am Chem Soc 101: 760; b) Helmer BJ, West R (1982) Organometallics 1: 877
- SAINTPLUS: Software Reference Manual, Version 5.054 (1997–1998) Bruker-AXS, Madison, WI
- [20] a) Blessing RH (1995) Acta Crystallogr A 51: 33; b) SADABS (1998) Bruker-AXS, Madison, WI
- [21] Sheldrick GM (1998) SHELX97 Programs for Crystal Structure Analysis (Release 97-2), Universität Göttingen, Göttingen (Germany). Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures of 5, 8, 10, 17 and 20 reported in this paper were deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC-179568 for 5, CCDC-179567 for 8, CCDC-179569 for 10, CCDC-179571 for 17, and CCDC-216733 for 20. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK [Fax: (internat.) +44-1223/336-033; E-mail: deposit@chemcrys. cam.ac.uk]